



भारत सरकार/ Government of India
खान मंत्रालय/ Ministry of Mines
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो/ Indian Bureau of Mines
नागपुर क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय/Nagpur Regional Office

छटवी मंजील,
बी एव सी ब्लाक,
इंदीरा भवन, सिविल लाइन्स,
नागपुर-44001
6th Floor, 'B' & 'C' Block
Indira Bhawan, Civil Lines,
Nagpur-440001
दुरभाष / Telephone-
2565089 (Tel/Fax)
इमेल-rcom.nr@ibm.gov.in

No. MAH/NAG/MN-326/NGP

Nagpur, dated: 15.02.2022

To

(40MSH14048)

M/s Veet Rag Exploration & Minerals Pvt. Ltd.

267, Ganesh Phadanvis Bhavan,
Oppo. Triangular Park, Dharampeth
Nagpur-440010 (Maharashtra)

Sub: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your Maharkund Manganese Mines over an area of 10.62 Hect. situated in District-Nagpur of Maharashtra state.

Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation Development (Amendment) Rules, 2017 are found violated in respect of your above referred mine during the inspection on 12.01.2022 carried out by Shri. Kalamata M.K. Regional Mining Geologist & Shri. Snehankit H. Chatte, Asst. Mining Engineer alongwith Shri. Wankhede, Mining Manager & Shri. A.B. Deoras, (QP):-

Rule	Nature of Violation observed
11(1)	<p>No holder of a mining lease shall commence or carry out mining operations in any area except in accordance with the mining plan approved, modified or reviewed by the Indian Bureau of Mines or prepared and certified in accordance with the system established by the State Government pursuant to the proviso to clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 5 or approved by the competent authority of the Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research, in respect of minerals specified in Part B of the First Schedule to the Act where the grade of such atomic minerals is equal to or above the threshold value limits declared under Schedule-A of the Atomic Minerals Concession Rules, 2016.</p> <p>In this connection following deficiencies are observed during the inspection of your above referred mine:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As per the proposals given in the Review of Mining plan approved on 06.09.2017, total 21 nos. of boreholes were proposed but during inspection no boreholes found drilled.2. During inspection it was observed that, the pit was over excavated as compared to the proposals given in last approved mining Plan document. In last approved mining plan document the co-ordinates of proposed dimension / excavation of the pit was proposed from N-238317 & E-291700 to N238366 & E-291725 and from N238340 & E-291638 to N-238400 & E-291635 but during site inspection it was observed that the excavation/ dimension of pit was extended from N-238317 & E-291700 to N238366 & E-291725 to N-238395 & E-291750 to N-238430 & E-291640.3. As per the Annual returns submitted to this office for the year 2020-21, the ROM productions carried out more than the proposed ROM production given in the approved document for the year 2020-21. In the approved document, there was a proposal to produce 22329 T ROM in the Year 2020-21. However, as per the Annual returns submitted for the year 2020-21, ROM 25000 Tonnes is produced. Further generation of OB is not mentioned in the Annual Return.4. As per last approved mining plan the 85% recovery of clean ore/ graded ore from

	<p>ROM was furnished, but as per the annual return year 2020-21, the ROM production is furnished as 25000 T and grade wise production is furnished as 9600T , which calculates 38.4 % recovery, to be justify. Further, in said Annual return mineral rejects of 1200 T quantity produced is furnished but ROM is produced 25000 T and after grading if furnished 9600T & remaining should be 15400T, how the figure 1200T of rejects furnished in the return needs to be justify.</p> <p>Hence, there is deviation from the approved mining plan.</p>
55(1) (c)	<p>For the purpose of carrying out reconnaissance, prospecting or mining operations in accordance with these rules,—</p> <p>every holder of a mining lease shall employ, in case of—</p> <p>(i) category “A” mines having leased area equal to or above twenty-five hectares, a whole-time mining engineer and a geologist;</p> <p>(ii) category “A” mines having lease area below twenty-five hectares and category “B” mines, a part-time mining engineer and a part-time geologist:</p> <p>As per this office records, there is no mining engineer & Geologist appointed for carrying out mining operations in the above referred mine.</p> <p><i>These violations may leads to suspension of all mining operations under Rule 11(2) of MCDR, 2017.</i></p>

2. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that, the above violations constitute an offence punishable under rule 62 of Mineral Conservation and Development (Amendment) Rules, 2021.
3. The mining operation can be suspended by the competent authority and suitable actions can be initiated under Rule 11(2), if compliance is not found satisfactory.
4. You are advised **to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (Forty Five) days** from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,


15/7/22

(R.R. Dongre)

Regional Controller of Mines

Copy to:

1. The Chief Controller of Mines I/C, I.B.M. Nagpur.
2. The Controller of Mines (CZ), I.B.M. Nagpur.
3. The Director, Directorate of Geology & Mining, Government of Maharashtra, Khanij Bhawan, 27, Shivaji Nagar, Cement Road, Nagpur-440010 (Maharashtra)



(R.R. Dongre)

Regional Controller of Mines